

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office / Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/001,620	10/23/2001	David A. Poindexter	076437-9013-01	1157	
7	7590 12/22/2003	EXAMINER			
Michael J. Gr		BRATLIE, STEVEN A			
Boyle Fredrick	son Nowholm Stein &				
250 East Wisco	onsin Avenue	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Suite 1030		3652			
Milwaukee, WI 53202			DATE MAILED: 12/22/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	M		
		10/0	501620	Poinde	xten of		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	11 -12 /2/41		
		BRAT		3652			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE							
Status	Decreasive to communication(s) filed on						
1)[	•						
2a)∐	<b>/</b> /						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
۵٫۱	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have beer	received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen			tion No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:							
U.S. Patent and T	rademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/001,620

Art Unit: 3652

1. Applicant's election with traverse of species of Fig. 3 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that no undue burden. This is not found persuasive because applicant has not shown that the same search is required for each species (class/subclass), also no written admission that the species are obvious variants.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 2. Claims 11, 15-18, 22, 23, 33 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 9.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claim 1-4, 6-10, 12-14, 19-21, 24-32, 34-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Dixon et al or Egan et al in view of Masse.

Application/Control Number: 10/001,620

Art Unit: 3652

Either Dixon, et al or Egan et al discloses a substantially similar transport accessory. Either Dixon, et al or Egan et al does not disclose the specific drive arrangement. Masse discloses the specific drive arrangement. It would have been obvious to a mechanic with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was mad to provide either primary reference with the specific drive arrangement. The motivation is the known use of equivalents.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Bratlie whose telephone number is (703) 308-2669. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday's through Thursday from 6:30 to 5:00. Friday is the examiner's day off.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177.

Bratlie/vs December 16, 2003 Steven a. Bratle

STEVEN A. BRATLIE PRIMARY EXAMINER